

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

DMITRY POTAPOV, et al.

Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Filed on: Filed Herewith

For: FACT TABLE STORAGE IN A DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM ENVIRONMENT

Confirmation No.:

Not Yet Assigned

Group Art Unit No.:

Not Yet Assigned

Examiner:

Not Yet Assigned

**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Oracle International Corporation, a California corporation having a place of business at 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 50P7, Redwood Shores, California 94065, certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief it is the assignee or is entitled to ownership of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-referenced patent application by virtue of an Assignment filed concurrently herewith and represents that the undersigned is a representative authorized and empowered to sign on behalf of Oracle International Corporation, which hereby revokes all powers of attorney previously given and appoints the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s): Edward A. Becker, Reg. No. 37,777; Marcel K. Bingham, Reg. No. 42,327; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; Christopher J. Palermo, Reg. No. 42,056; Bobby K. Truong, Reg. No. 37,499; Craig G. Holmes, Reg. No. 44,770; John D. Henkhaus, Reg. No. 42,656; Christian A. Nicholes, Reg. No. 50,266; Christopher J. Brokaw, Reg. No. 45,620; Thomas J. Treutler, Reg. No. 51,126; David Lewis, Reg. No. 33,101; Michael J. Meehan, Reg. No. 54,705; Paul A. Durdik, Reg. No. 37,819; Kirk Wong, Reg. No. 43,284, and Gene I. Su, Reg. No. 45,140, all of:

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP  
1600 Willow Street  
San Jose, California 95125-5106

and

Sanjay Prasad, Reg. No. 36,247; Roger Kennedy, Reg. No. 44,823; and Gabriela Buttz, Reg. No. 50,246 of ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION with full power of substitution and

2/1/02

revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all future correspondence to the attention of Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894, care of the above address and direct all telephone calls to the same at (408) 414-1080.

Assignee of Interest:

Oracle International Corporation

Dated: 11/18/2003

By: 

Name: Roger Kennedy

Title: Patent Counsel

Address of Assignee of Interest:

Oracle International Corporation

500 Oracle Parkway - M/S 50P7

Redwood Shores, CA 94065



I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor (given name, family name) DMITRY POTAPOV

Inventor's Signature *Potapov* Date 11/18/03

Residence Redwood City, California, U.S.A. Citizenship Russia  
(City, State) (Country)

Mailing Address 3515 Oak Knoll Drive, Redwood City, California 94062, U.S.A.

Full Name of Second Inventor (given name, family name) VISHWANATH KARRA

Inventor's Signature *V. Karra* Date 11/18/2003

Residence San Jose, California, U.S.A. Citizenship India  
(City, State) (Country)

Mailing Address 6511 Rainbow Drive, San Jose, California 95129, U.S.A.

Full Name of Third Inventor (given name, family name) AMIT GANESH

Inventor's Signature *A. Ganesh* Date 11/18/2003

Residence San Jose, California, U.S.A. Citizenship India  
(City, State) (Country) *MA*

Mailing Address 1426 Brook Glen Drive, San Jose, California 95129, U.S.A.

Full Name of Fourth Inventor (given name, family name) JONATHAN KLEIN

Inventor's Signature *J. Klein* Date 11/18/03

Residence Redwood c. by Jdk  
San Jose, California, U.S.A. Citizenship United States  
(City, State) (Country)

Mailing Address 1426 Brook Glen Drive, San Jose, California 95129, U.S.A.  
3754 Hamilton way, Redwood c. by CA 94062 Jdk

Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.